SD Ref	Inspector's	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
UDP – Case Ref	Recommendation		inou ito:
IR – Page No.			
SD - SD/PF/BH/1	Language and the transport of Cartings has read at the DDDD	Desiring Asserted	N/A
	I recommend that no modifications be made to the RDDP	<b>Decision</b> : Accepted	
UDP – Policy BH2 Demolition of Listed Buildings		Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's report	
Dullalings			
IR – Policy Framework, paragraphs 10.1-10.3, page 152			
<b>SD</b> – SD/PF/BH/2			N/A
05 05/11/51//2	I recommend that no modifications be made to the RDDP	Decision : Accepted	14/71
UDP – Policy BH9 Demolition within a Conservation Area		Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's report	
IR – Policy			
Framework,			
paragraphs 10.4-10.5, pages 152 - 153			
. •			
SD- SD/PF/BH/3	I recommend that the RDDP be amended as follows:	Decision : Accepted	Mod/PF/ BH/2
<b>UDP</b> – Paragraph 10.44	Replace the words 'preserve and enhance in the fourth line with 'preserve or enhance'	Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's report	
IR – Policy Framework, paragraphs 10.6-10.8, pages 153 - 154			

SD Ref	Inspector's	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
UDP – Case Ref	Recommendation		
IR – Page No.			N1/A
SD- SD/PF/BH/4	I recommend that no modifications be made to the RDDP	Decision : Accepted	N/A
UDP – Policy BH10 Open Space Within or Adjacent to Conservation Areas		Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's report	
IR – Policy Framework, paragraphs 10.9- 10.10, page 154			
SD - SD/PF/BH/5	I recommend that no modifications be made to the RDDP	Decision : : Accepted	N/A
UDP – Policy BH11 Space About Buildings in Conservation Areas		Reasons : : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's report	
IR – Policy Framework, paragraphs 10.11- 10.12, pages 154 – 155			
<b>SD</b> – SD/PF/BH/6	I recommend that the RDDR be modified as follows:	Decision : : Accepted	Mod/PF/ BH/3
<b>UDP</b> – Paragraph 10.48	Delete and replace with the paragraph as drafted on page 20 of the Councils changes dated January 2003 but amended by replacing 'is' by 'to be' in the fifth line	Reasons : : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's report	
IR – Policy Framework, paragraphs 10.13-			

SD Ref	Inspector's	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
UDP – Case Ref	Recommendation		
IR – Page No.			
10.14, pages 155 - 153			
SD - SD/PF/BH/7	I recommend that the RDDR be modified as follows:	Decision : : Accepted	Mod/PF/ BH/4
<b>UDP</b> – Policy BH12	Delete the first sentence of criterion (3) and replace with PROPOSALS FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF PUBLIC ART	Reasons : : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's report	
IR – Policy	WILL PRESERVE OR ENHANCE THE CHARACTER OR		
Framework,	APPERRANCE OF THE CONSERVATION AREA		
paragraphs 10.15- 10.16, page 156			
71 0			
SD- SD/PF/BH/8	I recommend that the RDDR be modified as follows:	Decision : : Accepted	Mod/PF/ BH/7
<b>UDP</b> –Policy BH17	Delete and replace with Policy BH17 as drafted on page 21 of	Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's report	Di 1/7
Local Historic Parks & Gardens	the Council's proposed changes dated January 2003 together with the added wording	Reasons For the reasons set out in the hispector's report	
IR – Policy Framework,	(sites may be added or removed from the list over the life of the plan, as required).		
paragraphs 10.17-			
10.20, pages 156-157			
SD - SD/PF/BH/9	I recommend that the RDDR be modified as follows:	Decision :: Accepted	Mod/PF/
UDP -Policies BH18		·	BH/8
& BH19 , paragraphs 10.70 – 10.71	[a] SECTION ENTITLED 'ARCHAEOLOGICAL AREAS CLASS 1 11 AND 111' – delete and replace with	Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's report	
development Affecting	Archaeological Areas Class 1		
Class I, II, III	10.70 Class 1 areas are site and landscapes of national importance which are protected as Scheduled Ancient		
Archaeological Sites	Monuments under the terms of the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979		
IR – Policy	and Archaeological Areas Act 1979		

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
IR – Page No. Framework, paragraphs 10.21- 10.27, pages 157-159	Policy BH18 DEVELOPMENT WHICH ADVERSELY AFFECTS CLASS 1 ARCHAEOLOGICAL AREAS OR OTHER NATIONALLY IMPORTANT REMAINS AND THEIR SETTINGS WILL NOT BE PERMITTED  [b] Para 10.71-delete and replace with  10.71 Class 11 areas are sites and landscapes which are regionally important but unscheduled, and which merit preservation in situ. Class 111 areas are sites registered in the County Sites and Monuments Records as containing or likely to contain remains of archaeological importance. The locations and extent of all Archaeological Areas are shown on the County Sites and Monuments Records. Where a Class 11 or 111 archaeological site is adversely affected by a development proposal, it is important that an archaeological evaluation is undertaken to assist in determining the importance of the archaeological remains and the appropriate course of action. Therefore:		
SD – SD/PF/BH/10  UDP – Policy BH20	I recommend that the RDDP be modified as follows:  Substitute 'content' with 'context' in criterion (4)	Decision :: Accepted  Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's report	Mod/PF/ BH/9
IR – Policy Framework, paragraphs 10.28- 10.29, page 159			

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
<b>SD</b> – SD/PF/BH/11	I recommend that the RDDP be modified as follows:	Decision :: Accepted	Mod/PF/ BH/10
<b>UDP</b> –POM 4 Setting of Listed Buildings	Insert a new policy stating that proposals for development will not be permitted if they would harm the setting of a listed building. This should be accompanied by an appropriate justifying paragraph	Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's report	
IR – Policy Framework, paragraphs 10.30- 10.33, page 159-160			